Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/651,057	DASGUPTA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frank M. Lawrence	1724
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Frank M. Lawrence</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Brezner</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 December 2004	Time: <u>3 pm e.t.</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 6, 21-24, 26, 30		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
		*
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's amendment in order to put the application in condition for allowance was discussed and agreed upon, including shortening the abstract to fewer than 150 words, replacing a trademark term in claim 6, and correcting the dependencies of claims 21-24, 26 and 30..